UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,661	03/10/2006	Josef Stutz	BARD-39578	9730
PEARNE & GO	7590 11/30/200 ORDON LLP	9	EXAMINER	
1801 EAST 9T		TOLIN, MICHAEL A		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND,	ОН 44114-3108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/571,661	STUTZ, JOSEF			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL A. TOLIN	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	<u></u> .		
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	iired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	tice of		
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smissio n dated), which is		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review		
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:					
	/Michael A Talia/				
	/Michael A Tolin/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit	1791			

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20091120 Part of Paper No. 20091120